

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,340	03/01/2002	Xiangsheng Zheng	5656-28	5048	
20792 7	590 06/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R		
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NO	-		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3762		
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>&gt;</b>		SP		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	10/087,340	ZHENG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MG	ONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 D</u>	<u>ecember 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 39,44-46 and 48-51</u> is/are pendir	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 39,44-46 and 48-51</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn fro	4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39,45,46 and 48-51</u> is/are allowed.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39,45,46 and 48-51</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>44</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>44</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			į		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/02, 12/6/04	6) Other:	D. is opposed.	,		

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 4/18/05 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Patent No. 6,662,045 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2 and 6-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thompson et al., US 5,800,465.

Applicant argues essentially that Thompson's electrode configurations don't teach or suggest the "configured for positioning at the atrial septum of the heart" limitation of Claim 1. As previously indicated, any lead could be considered as "configured" to be at or within a puncture in the septum. The electrode structure of Thompson et al. need only be inherently capable of performing the claimed functions to meet the inherency requirement. In this instance, since the leads of Thompson et al. are at least long enough to reach the atrial septum, they are therefore capable of stimulating the atrial septum. For example, as bipolar stimulation can be used in Thompson, the distal electrode, e.g. 33, on a lead would be considered the once capable of stimulating the septum, and a more proximal electrode, e.g. 32, would be the one "configured for insertion into the atrium without extending into the right ventricle. Furthermore, Applicant's disclosed electrodes are places at the atrial septum in the

Art Unit: 3762

same way that Thompson is capable of, i.e. the "configured" language is merely relative to the method of implantation an not a structural lead difference.

As to Applicant's arguments regarding Claim 2 are noted, however, Thompson's device need only be capable of the claimed function, which, if the patient had an atrial septal puncture, the Thompson et al. lead is certainly capable of being positioned therein. Since there is no structure to differentiate the lead of Thompson et al. from the claimed, the rejection stands. As an aside, if Applicant wishes to pursue a method of positioning an electrode at a atrial septum or through a puncture therein, method claim structures are suggested. Accordingly, this rejection is hereby made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson et al. in view of Bardy for the reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Applicant's remarks regarding the motivation to combine the Thompson et al. device with Bardy's device are noted, however, the fact remains the concept of lead anchoring is notorious in the art. Even without a specific teaching as the Bardy anchor screw, modification of a lead in general to provide anchoring for site specific stimulation is a fundamental and widely accepted concept in the art. The Thompson *method* may not provide for anchoring, but that structure in combination with the teachings at the time of the invention in the cardiac stimulation arts, e.g Bardy, render a modification of the Thompson et al. lead to include anchoring an obvious modification. This rejection is hereby made FINAL.

### Claim Objections

Claim 44 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 44 currently depends upon canceled claim 43.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 39, 45, 46, 48-51 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,340 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (571) 272-4947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Wednesday 5:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey R Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

5/6/<del>5</del>